

NSF's National Solar Observatory

# DKIST instrumentation system – an overview

FRIEDRICH WÖGER







- The DKI Solar Telescope Introduction
  - Overview, incl. static and active optics
  - Operations
    - From proposal to experiment to data (cycle based, 1y cycle, service mode vs pi mode, where to find data)
- DKIST instrument suite
  - Differences between instruments
  - Trade-off space between different instrument types
- atmosphere, VBI, image reconstruction & issues







- World's largest telescope for observations of the Sun
  - Aperture diameter: 4 meter
  - Design wavelength range:
    320 nm 25 μm (current instrumentation: 380 nm 5 μm)
  - Off-axis Gregorian design no central obscuration!
- Sensitivity
  - High spatial resolution: approx. 25 mas
  - Polarimetric precision: approx. 5x10<sup>-4</sup> I<sub>disk</sub>
  - Polarimetric observations of the solar corona: detect signals  $\leq 10^{-8} I_{disk}$
- Flexible
  - Unique instrumentation can be combined in a vast number of ways









- Active M1/M2 to adjust quasi static alignment
- Heat stop reject about 12 kW of power in the prime focus
- Active M3/M6 to stabilize boresight and pupil positions
- Fast Tip/Tilt M5, adaptive M10 for adaptive optics system
- Field stops, occulters and calibration optics in the Gregorian Optical Station (GOS)
- Air knife between M6 and M7 to separate 'dirty' telescope level from coudé clean room environment
  - Access to the coudé clean room requires gearing up
- Many elements in the optical path are actively cooled













## DKIST Instrumentation







#### What are we trying to achieve?

#### Measure scientific parameters of interest...

- Vector field measurements of
  - Plasma velocity
  - Magnetic field
- Photometric properties of the solar atmosphere
- Oscillations in the solar atmosphere

#### • ...

- ...ideally in all solar atmospheric layers at the same time...
- Photosphere / Chromosphere / Corona

... to infer / derive parameters of the prevailing 3D solar atmosphere

Temperature, pressure, density, etc

#### Remote sensing

- Electromagnetic waves and its properties
  - Wavelength/Frequency
  - Intensity
  - Polarization
  - (Phase, Coherence, ...)
- In-situ observations
  - Would allow for direct measurements of many desired diagnostics
  - Are (obviously) very difficult





#### DKIST Instrumentation – General Comments

- Any instrument design
  - Addresses *specific science use cases*
  - Prioritizes certain performance criteria, and ...
  - ... de-prioritizing others
- Trade-offs in acquisition of 'atomic' DataSets are common and unavoidable
  - For example: camera number of pixels and frame rate will impact the trade between field of view and spatial and temporal resolution
- DKIST covers as much 'discovery space' as possible with its first light instrumentation
  - Unique measurement techniques
  - Multiple instrument 'modes'
  - Operate instruments simultaneously







## Combining instruments

- Facility Instrument Distribution Optics (FIDO)
  - Suite of dichroic beamsplitters
  - Interchangeable at various locations feeding the instruments
  - Initial set is already being expanded to address additional use cases
- Combine instruments to utilize their strengths to address a specific science use cases
- However:

Changing the configuration is not trivial, and takes one day of ensuring system performance

- $\,\circ\,$  Optics weigh 10 kg each, and ...
- … need to be aligned to arcsecond optical tilt accuracy







### What it takes to observe at DKIST ...

- DKIST is currently operated by NSO Scientists, Engineers, and Operators in 'service mode'
  - Most efficient use of telescope time while still commissioning certain functionality
  - Visits to the telescope by non-NSO scientists are rare
- 'Service mode' operation of DKIST requires a significant amount of planning and preparation
  - 1. Submission of science proposal
  - 2. Review (scientific and technical) of science proposal
  - 3. Accepted proposals are finalized, and converted into executable Experiments
    - Experiments consist of multiple Observing Programs that contain telescope settings & Instrument Programs
    - Instrument Programs are ultimately the generators of Data Sets by each instrument
  - 4. Generated Experiments are verified for Quality Assurance
  - 5. Within the execution window, the Experiments are uploaded to DKIST and queued for execution, while *telescope (FIDO) and instrument configuration and performance, weather and target availability* are monitored and assured
  - 6. Once the Experiment has been executed successfully (i.e. data meets criteria), generated Data Sets are transferred to the DKIST Data Center
  - 7. At the Data Center the Data Sets are calibrated and published for download





#### Visible Spectro-Polarimeter

Science case: magnetic field vector and line-ofsight velocity simultaneously in multiple atmospheric layers

- Focus: wavelength diverse spectro-polarimetry, diagnostic discovery space
- Grating-based, (scanning) single slit spectrograph
- 3 wavelength channels
  - Spectral range: 380-900 nm
  - Max field-of-view: ~1 arcmin slit length, ~2x1 arcmin (scanning)
  - Almost any combination of 3 spectral windows accessible simultaneously
    - Currently, this functionality is limited for increased efficiency
  - Dual beam polarimeter









#### VISP INTENSTY MODE

VISP POLARIMETRY MODE









#### Cryogenic Near-Infrared Spectro-Polarimeter

Science case: magnetic field vector and line-ofsight velocity (primarily) in the solar corona

- Focus: infrared spectro-polarimetry
- Grating-based, (scanning) single slit spectrograph
- single wavelength channel for spectrograph
  - $\,\circ\,$  Spectral range: 1 5  $\mu m$
  - Max field-of-view: 2 & 3.75 arcmin slit lengths, up to 5 arcmin (scanning)
  - Dual beam polarimeter
- single wavelength channel for context imager
  - Spectral range: 1 5 μm
  - Max field-of-view: 1.5x1.5 arcmin (square)







#### Cryogenic Near-Infrared Spectro-Polarimeter







#### Diffraction limited Near-Infrared Spectro-Polarimeter

Science cases: magnetic field and velocity vectors in various solar atmospheric layers

- Focus: true 2d imaging spectro-polarimetry
- Grating-based, (mosaicking) image slicer spectrograph
- 3 wavelength channels
  - Spectral ranges: **500-900 nm**, **900-1200 nm**, 1200-1600 nm
    - Fixed (but upgradable) wavelength diagnostics in each channel
  - Max field-of-view: approx. 2x2, 4.5x4.5 arcsec (on disk), 27x10 arcsec (corona), 2 arcmin (round, mosaicking)
  - Dual beam polarimetry



Anan

Ø



#### Diffraction limited Near-Infrared Spectro-Polarimeter







### Visible Tunable Filter

Science cases: magnetic field and velocity vectors in various solar atmospheric layers

- Focus: large FOV, high spatial resolution imaging spectro-polarimetry
- Tunable Fabry-Perot Interferometer with polarimetric capability
- 3 channels
  - 2 narrowband & 1 broadband cameras
  - Spectral range: 520-860 nm
    - Fixed (but upgradable) wavelength diagnostics
  - Dual beam polarimetry
    - 2 synchronized narrowband cameras
  - Data post-facto processed to increase spatial resolution









VTF IMAGING MODE

#### VTF DOPPLER MODE

#### VTF POLARIMETRIC MODE







### Visible Broadband Imager

Science case: horizontal flow fields, oscillations

- Focus: high spatial and temporal resolution
- Fixed wavelength imager
- 2 wavelength channels:
  - Spectral ranges: 390 550 nm, 550 850 nm
    - Total of 8 interference filters, w/ central wavelength centered at scientific diagnostics that image photosphere, chromosphere or corona
    - $\,\circ\,$  typical passbands:  $\,\leq$  0.5 nm (or much less)
  - Max field-of-view: approx. 1 arcmin square (single field), 2 arcmin square (mosicking)
  - Data post-facto processed to increase spatial resolution









#### VBI SINGLE FIELD MODE

VBI FIELD SCANNING MODE











NSO

# N short exposed image



Speckle Image Reconstruction

# Average image







#### Speckle Image Reconstruction







## Speckle Image Reconstruction

#### Two independent, separate steps:

#### Object Fourier phase recovery O

- Robust: bi-spectrum analysis (aka triple correlation)
  - Somewhat time consuming
- Statistical averaging process that keeps the constant phase
  - Cannot remove fixed aberrations in the optical system
- Requires many, statistically independent realizations of the atmosphere

# Object Fourier amplitude recovery

Straight forward mathematically

**Critical** to achieve 'correct' photometry in the reconstruction

Highly non-trivial in reality:

- Need an estimate of the atmospheric conditions (and adaptive optics system, when used)
- Noise filtering and amplification!





# Fourier phase recovery

• For an incoherent source, formation of the n-th image

$$I_n(\vec{x}) = O(\vec{x}) * PSF_n(\vec{x}) \stackrel{FT}{\leftrightarrow} i_n(\vec{q}) = o(\vec{q}) \cdot otf_n(\vec{q})$$

• Additionally, we can describe the complex  $i_n(\vec{q})$  as

 $i_n(\vec{q}) = A_n(\vec{q}) \cdot e^{i\theta_n(\vec{q})}$ 

• Consider the normalized  $i_n(\vec{q})$  – they carry only the Fourier phase information

$$\hat{\iota}_n(\vec{q}) = \frac{i_n(\vec{q})}{\|i_n(\vec{q})\|}$$

and note the object and OTF contribution to the phase separately

$$\hat{\iota}_n(\vec{q}) = e^{i(\omega(\vec{q}) + \varphi_n(\vec{q}))}$$







Compute the average bispectrum of the normalized phase

$$\mathcal{C}(\vec{u},\vec{v}) = \langle \hat{\iota}_n(\vec{u}) \cdot \hat{\iota}_n(\vec{v}) \cdot \hat{\iota}_n^*(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) \rangle_{n=1\dots N} = \left\langle e^{i(\theta_n(\vec{u})+\theta_n(\vec{u})-\theta_n(\vec{u}+\vec{v}))} \right\rangle_{n=1\dots N}$$

$$=e^{i(\omega(\vec{u})+\omega(\vec{u})-\omega(\vec{u}+\vec{v}))}\cdot\left\langle e^{i(\varphi_n(\vec{u})+\varphi_n(\vec{u})-\varphi_n(\vec{u}+\vec{v}))}\right\rangle_{n=1\dots N}$$

- Lohmann, Weigelt and Wirnitzer (1983); v.d. Lühe (1985) showed that the second factor is **real (non-complex)**, and, thus, is just a scalar factor to  $C(\vec{u}, \vec{v})!$
- For given locations in the Fourier domain  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ , one can then estimate the Fourier phase of the object, e.g.

$$\hat{o}(\vec{u}+\vec{v}) = e^{i\omega(\vec{u}+\vec{v})} = \left(\frac{\hat{C}(\vec{u},\vec{v})}{\hat{\iota}_n(\vec{u})\cdot\hat{\iota}_n(\vec{v})}\right)^*$$

• The `secret sauce` is in the selection of good combinations  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ 





## Fourier phase recovery

- Assumptions:
  - Dynamic (atmospherically induced) phase aberrations have a normal distribution with zero mean
  - There are no fixed aberrations (although: if those were known due to independent measurements, they could be removed, as well)
- The PSF is *not estimated* as part of the process! Speckle interferometry is a 'clever averaging process' that removes statistically zero-mean phase aberrations [however, PSF recovery can be done separately, e.g. Waldmann & v.d. Lühe, 2010]
- The result of the phase recovery is unique





• For an incoherent source, formation of the n-th image

$$I_n(\vec{x}) = O(\vec{x}) * PSF_n(\vec{x}) \stackrel{FT}{\leftrightarrow} i_n(\vec{q}) = o(\vec{q}) \cdot otf_n(\vec{q})$$

• So, theoretically speaking

$$|o(\vec{q})|^{2} = \frac{\langle |i_{n}(\vec{q})|^{2} \rangle_{n}}{\langle |otf_{n}(\vec{q})|^{2} \rangle_{n}}$$

- The term  $\text{STF}(\vec{q}) = \langle |otf(\vec{q})|^2 \rangle$  is often called "Speckle Transfer Function" and can be modeled with and without assumption of a correcting adaptive optics system. Aside from frequency  $\vec{q}$ , it depends on
  - Telescope aperture
  - Seeing conditions
  - If applicable, performance of the adaptive optics system





- Pure atmospheric turbulence:
  - Fried (1966) LETF
  - Korff (1973) STF
- Modifications for adaptive optics correction:
  - Wang & Markey (1978) LETF
  - Woeger & v. d. Luehe (2007) STF
    - Verified to a degree with simulations by Peck et al. (2017)







- Pure atmospheric turbulence:
  - Fried (1966) LETF
  - Korff (1973) STF
- Modifications for adaptive optics correction:
  - Wang & Markey (1978) LETF
  - $^\circ~$  Woeger & v. d. Luehe (2007) STF
    - Verified to a degree with simulations by Peck et al. (2017)







- The photometry in a reconstruction critically depends on the 'correct' STF
  - Depends on Fried parameter r0
  - $^\circ~$  Modal correction efficiency  $\beta$
- The applied STF is chosen *per subfield* based on
  - Input AO control data/r0
  - Spectral ratio, to estimate correction efficiency (see explanation in later session)

















This is cool ... but what about time series?













### Destretching time series

- Time dependent distortion introduced by the random motion of Earth's atmosphere
- Each image burst is reconstructed independently from each other, so the 'position' of the structure depends on the 'mean' location within each burst
  - [remember: speckle interferometry is a statistical method!]
- Solution:
  - Create small sub-tiles and use a cross-correlation algorithm to *shift* (with subpixel accuracy) the subtiles' locations onto that of the common reference
    - This reference needs to be chosen carefully
- HOWEVER:
  - The destretch process is tricky, because it \*can\* remove solar horizontal motion!!
  - Results depend on:
    - Subfield size
    - Reference selection
    - Input data cadence
    - 0















Questions?



